

## Lecture 17

---

### Learning Control Contraction Metrics

---

In the previous lecture, we explored how learning can be used to find a Lyapunov function and corresponding controller via mixed-integer programming. Approaches have also been developed to find control contraction metrics from data using neural networks.

Let's review the control contraction metric conditions:

$$B_{\perp}^T(-\dot{W} + AW + WA^T + 2\lambda W)B_{\perp} < 0 \quad (17.1)$$

where  $B_{\perp}B = 0$  and

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial x_i} b_i - \frac{\partial b_i}{\partial x} W - W \frac{\partial b_i}{\partial x}^T = 0, \quad \forall i \quad (17.2)$$

where  $b_i$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  column of  $B$ . Can we parameterize  $W$  as a neural network?

#### 17.1. Learning CCMs from Data

To learn a CCM from data, we specify a composite loss function over the domain  $B = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N_b}\}$ . The first loss function  $L_1$  promotes satisfaction of the CCM condition on the training data and is given as

$$L_1(B) = -\alpha_1 \frac{1}{N_b} \sum_{i=1}^{N_b} \exp[\max(\bar{\lambda}(C_1(x_i)), \tau)]. \quad (17.3)$$

The second loss ensures that the CCM is well conditioned and is given as

$$L_2(B) = \alpha_2 \frac{1}{N_b} \sum_{i=1}^{N_b} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{\lambda}(M(x_i))}{\underline{\lambda}(M(x_i))}}. \quad (17.4)$$

The third loss encourages large contraction rates and is given as

$$L_3(B) = -\alpha_3 \min_{1 \leq i \leq N_b} \lambda(x_i). \quad (17.5)$$

Here  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are tunable parameters and  $\tau$  is a threshold parameter.  $\bar{\lambda}$  and  $\underline{\lambda}$  signify the maximum and minimum eigen values.

How can we verify over the whole region of interest?

## 17.2. Extreme Value Theory

One way to provide stochastic guarantees is the Fisher-Tippet-Gnedenko Theorem. This theorem guarantees that sample batch maximums of random variable  $Z$  converge to the Generalized Extreme Value Distribution.

The maximum can then be estimated (e.g., using Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)) with confidence  $\psi$ .

For our scenarios, assume  $|f(x, u) - \hat{f}(x, u)| \leq w(x, u)$ .

$$Z \sim \max_k \left( |f(x, u) - \hat{f}(x, u)| - w(x, u) \right) \quad (17.6)$$

Use MLE to fit a sample of batch maximums of  $Z$  to the Generalized Extreme Value (GEV) distribution:

$$H(y) = \exp \left\{ - \left[ 1 + \xi \left( \frac{y - \mu}{\sigma} \right) \right]^{-\frac{1}{\xi}} \right\}, \quad -\infty < \mu, \quad \xi < \infty, \quad \sigma > 0 \quad (17.7)$$

where  $\mu$  is the location parameter,  $\xi$  is the shape parameter, and  $\sigma > 0$  is the scale parameter. If  $\xi < 0$ , the maximum of  $Z$  is finite and can be estimated with confidence  $\psi$ . This maximum value is given as  $\phi$  and can provide a robust bound as follows:

$$|f(x, u) - \hat{f}(x, u)| \leq w(x, u) + \phi. \quad (17.8)$$

## Bibliography

- [1] Dawei Sun, Susmit Jha, and Chuchu Fan. Learning certified control using contraction metric. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pages 1519–1539. PMLR, 2021.
- [2] Craig Knuth, Glen Chou, Necmiye Ozay, and Dmitry Berenson. Planning with learned dynamics: Probabilistic guarantees on safety and reachability via lipschitz constants. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 6(3):5129–5136, 2021.